

ECE 542: Information Theory and Coding
Homework 1 Solutions
Problems 2.1, 2.2, 2.6, 2.8, 2.14, 2.21, 2.22, 2.30

1. *Coin flips.* A fair coin is flipped until the first head occurs. Let X denote the number of flips required.

(a) Find the entropy $H(X)$ in bits. The following expressions may be useful:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} r^n = \frac{r}{1-r}, \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} nr^n = \frac{r}{(1-r)^2}.$$

- (b) A random variable X is drawn according to this distribution. Find an “efficient” sequence of yes-no questions of the form, “Is X contained in the set S ?” Compare $H(X)$ to the expected number of questions required to determine X .

Solution:

- (a) The number X of tosses till the first head appears has the geometric distribution with parameter $p = 1/2$, where $P(X = n) = pq^{n-1}$, $n \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$. Hence the entropy of X is

$$\begin{aligned} H(X) &= - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} pq^{n-1} \log(pq^{n-1}) \\ &= - \left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} pq^n \log p + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} npq^n \log q \right] \\ &= \frac{-p \log p}{1-q} - \frac{pq \log q}{p^2} \\ &= \frac{-p \log p - q \log q}{p} \\ &= H(p)/p \text{ bits.} \end{aligned}$$

If $p = 1/2$, then $H(X) = 2$ bits.

- (b) Intuitively, it seems clear that the best questions are those that have equally likely chances of receiving a yes or a no answer. Consequently, one possible guess is that the most "efficient" series of questions is: Is $X = 1$? If not, is $X = 2$? If not, is $X = 3$? ... with a resulting expected number of questions equal to $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n(1/2^n) = 2$. This should reinforce the intuition that $H(X)$ is a measure of the uncertainty of X . Indeed in this case, the entropy is exactly the same as the average number of questions needed to define X , and in general $E(\# \text{ of questions}) \geq H(X)$. This problem has an interpretation as a source coding problem. Let 0 = no, 1 = yes, X = Source, and Y = Encoded Source. Then the set of questions in the above procedure can be written as a collection of (X, Y) pairs: (1, 1), (2, 01), (3, 001), etc. . In fact, this intuitively derived code is the optimal (Huffman) code minimizing the expected number of questions.
2. *Entropy of functions.* Let X be a random variable taking on a finite number of values. What is the (general) inequality relationship of $H(X)$ and $H(Y)$ if
- (a) $Y = 2^X$?
- (b) $Y = \cos X$?

Solution: Let $y = g(x)$. Then

$$p(y) = \sum_{x: y=g(x)} p(x).$$

Consider any set of x 's that map onto a single y . For this set

$$\sum_{x: y=g(x)} p(x) \log p(x) \leq \sum_{x: y=g(x)} p(x) \log p(y) = p(y) \log p(y),$$

since \log is a monotone increasing function and $p(x) \leq \sum_{x: y=g(x)} p(x) = p(y)$. Extending this argument to the entire range of X (and Y), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} H(X) &= - \sum_x p(x) \log p(x) \\ &= - \sum_y \sum_{x: y=g(x)} p(x) \log p(x) \\ &\geq - \sum_y p(y) \log p(y) \\ &= H(Y), \end{aligned}$$

with equality iff g is one-to-one with probability one.

- (a) $Y = 2^X$ is one-to-one and hence the entropy, which is just a function of the probabilities (and not the values of a random variable) does not change, i.e., $H(X) = H(Y)$.
- (b) $Y = \cos(X)$ is not necessarily one-to-one. Hence all that we can say is that $H(X) \geq H(Y)$, with equality if cosine is one-to-one on the range of X .

6. *Zero conditional entropy.* Show that if $H(Y|X) = 0$, then Y is a function of X , i.e., for all x with $p(x) > 0$, there is only one possible value of y with $p(x, y) > 0$.

Solution: Zero Conditional Entropy. Assume that there exists an x , say x_0 and two different values of y , say y_1 and y_2 such that $p(x_0, y_1) > 0$ and $p(x_0, y_2) > 0$. Then $p(x_0) \geq p(x_0, y_1) + p(x_0, y_2) > 0$, and $p(y_1|x_0)$ and $p(y_2|x_0)$ are not equal to 0 or 1. Thus

$$H(Y|X) = - \sum_x p(x) \sum_y p(y|x) \log p(y|x) \quad (2.66)$$

$$\geq p(x_0)(-p(y_1|x_0) \log p(y_1|x_0) - p(y_2|x_0) \log p(y_2|x_0)) \quad (2.67)$$

$$> 0, \quad (2.68)$$

since $-t \log t \geq 0$ for $0 \leq t \leq 1$, and is strictly positive for t not equal to 0 or 1. Therefore the conditional entropy $H(Y|X)$ is 0 if and only if Y is a function of X .

8. *World Series.* The World Series is a seven-game series that terminates as soon as either team wins four games. Let X be the random variable that represents the outcome of a World Series between teams A and B; possible values of X are AAAA, BABABAB, and BBBA AAAA. Let Y be the number of games played, which ranges from 4 to 7. Assuming that A and B are equally matched and that the games are independent, calculate $H(X)$, $H(Y)$, $H(Y|X)$, and $H(X|Y)$.

Solution:

World Series. Two teams play until one of them has won 4 games.

There are 2 (AAAA, BBBB) World Series with 4 games. Each happens with probability $(1/2)^4$.

There are $8 = 2\binom{4}{3}$ World Series with 5 games. Each happens with probability $(1/2)^5$.

There are $20 = 2\binom{5}{3}$ World Series with 6 games. Each happens with probability $(1/2)^6$.

There are $40 = 2\binom{6}{3}$ World Series with 7 games. Each happens with probability $(1/2)^7$.

The probability of a 4 game series ($Y = 4$) is $2(1/2)^4 = 1/8$.

The probability of a 5 game series ($Y = 5$) is $8(1/2)^5 = 1/4$.

The probability of a 6 game series ($Y = 6$) is $20(1/2)^6 = 5/16$.

The probability of a 7 game series ($Y = 7$) is $40(1/2)^7 = 5/16$.

$$\begin{aligned} H(X) &= \sum p(x) \log \frac{1}{p(x)} \\ &= 2(1/16) \log 16 + 8(1/32) \log 32 + 20(1/64) \log 64 + 40(1/128) \log 128 \\ &= 5.8125 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
H(Y) &= \sum p(y) \log \frac{1}{p(y)} \\
&= 1/8 \log 8 + 1/4 \log 4 + 5/16 \log(16/5) + 5/16 \log(16/5) \\
&= 1.924
\end{aligned}$$

Y is a deterministic function of X , so if you know X there is no randomness in Y . Or, $H(Y|X) = 0$.

Since $H(X) + H(Y|X) = H(X, Y) = H(Y) + H(X|Y)$, it is easy to determine $H(X|Y) = H(X) + H(Y|X) - H(Y) = 3.889$

14. *Drawing with and without replacement.* An urn contains r red, w white, and b black balls. Which has higher entropy, drawing $k \geq 2$ balls from the urn with replacement or without replacement? Set it up and show why. (There is both a hard way and a relatively simple way to do this.)

Solution: *Drawing with and without replacement.* Intuitively, it is clear that if the balls are drawn with replacement, the number of possible choices for the i -th ball is larger, and therefore the conditional entropy is larger. But computing the conditional distributions is slightly involved. It is easier to compute the unconditional entropy.

- With replacement. In this case the conditional distribution of each draw is the same for every draw. Thus

$$X_i = \begin{cases} \text{red} & \text{with prob. } \frac{r}{r+w+b} \\ \text{white} & \text{with prob. } \frac{w}{r+w+b} \\ \text{black} & \text{with prob. } \frac{b}{r+w+b} \end{cases} \quad (2.83)$$

and therefore

$$H(X_i | X_{i-1}, \dots, X_1) = H(X_i) \quad (2.84)$$

$$= \log(r+w+b) - \frac{r}{r+w+b} \log r - \frac{w}{r+w+b} \log w - \frac{b}{r+w+b} \log b \quad (2.85)$$

- Without replacement. The unconditional probability of the i -th ball being red is still $r/(r+w+b)$, etc. Thus the unconditional entropy $H(X_i)$ is still the same as with replacement. The conditional entropy $H(X_i | X_{i-1}, \dots, X_1)$ is less than the unconditional entropy, and therefore the entropy of drawing without replacement is lower.

21. *Data processing.* Let $X_1 \rightarrow X_2 \rightarrow X_3 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow X_n$ form a Markov chain in this order; i.e., let

$$p(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \bar{p}(x_1)p(x_2|x_1) \cdots p(x_n|x_{n-1}).$$

Reduce $I(X_1; X_2, \dots, X_n)$ to its simplest form.

Solution: *Data Processing.* By the chain rule for mutual information,

$$I(X_1; X_2, \dots, X_n) = I(X_1; X_2) + I(X_1; X_3|X_2) + \dots + I(X_1; X_n|X_2, \dots, X_{n-2}). \quad (2.95)$$

By the Markov property, the past and the future are conditionally independent given the present and hence all terms except the first are zero. Therefore

$$I(X_1; X_2, \dots, X_n) = I(X_1; X_2). \quad (2.96)$$

22. *Bottleneck.* Suppose a (non-stationary) Markov chain starts in one of n states, necks down to $k < n$ states, and then fans back to $m > k$ states. Thus $X_1 \rightarrow X_2 \rightarrow X_3$, $X_1 \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, $X_2 \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$, $X_3 \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$.

- Show that the dependence of X_1 and X_3 is limited by the bottleneck by proving that $I(X_1; X_3) \leq \log k$.
- Evaluate $I(X_1; X_3)$ for $k = 1$, and conclude that no dependence can survive such a bottleneck.

Solution:

Bottleneck.

- From the data processing inequality, and the fact that entropy is maximum for a uniform distribution, we get

$$\begin{aligned} I(X_1; X_3) &\leq I(X_1; X_2) \\ &= H(X_2) - H(X_2 | X_1) \\ &\leq H(X_2) \\ &\leq \log k. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the dependence between X_1 and X_3 is limited by the size of the bottleneck. That is $I(X_1; X_3) \leq \log k$.

- For $k = 1$, $I(X_1; X_3) \leq \log 1 = 0$ and since $I(X_1, X_3) \geq 0$, $I(X_1, X_3) = 0$. Thus, for $k = 1$, X_1 and X_3 are independent.

30)

Recall that,

$$-\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} p_i \log p_i \leq -\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} p_i \log q_i.$$

Let $q_i = \alpha(\beta)^i$. Then we have that,

$$\begin{aligned} -\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} p_i \log p_i &\leq -\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} p_i \log q_i \\ &= -\left(\log(\alpha) \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} p_i + \log(\beta) \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} i p_i \right) \\ &= -\log \alpha - A \log \beta \end{aligned}$$

Notice that the final right hand side expression is independent of $\{p_i\}$, and that the inequality,

$$-\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} p_i \log p_i \leq -\log \alpha - A \log \beta$$

holds for all α, β such that,

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \alpha \beta^i = 1 = \alpha \frac{1}{1-\beta}.$$

The constraint on the expected value also requires that,

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} i \alpha \beta^i = A = \alpha \frac{\beta}{(1-\beta)^2}.$$

Combining the two constraints we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha \frac{\beta}{(1-\beta)^2} &= \left(\frac{\alpha}{1-\beta} \right) \left(\frac{\beta}{1-\beta} \right) \\ &= \frac{\beta}{1-\beta} \\ &= A, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that,

$$\begin{aligned} \beta &= \frac{A}{A+1} \\ \alpha &= \frac{1}{A+1}. \end{aligned}$$

So the entropy maximizing distribution is,

$$p_i = \frac{1}{A+1} \left(\frac{A}{A+1} \right)^i.$$

Plugging these values into the expression for the maximum entropy,

$$-\log \alpha - A \log \beta = (A+1) \log(A+1) - A \log A.$$

The general form of the distribution,

$$p_i = \alpha \beta^i$$

can be obtained either by guessing or by Lagrange multipliers where,

$$F(p_i, \lambda_1, \lambda_2) = - \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} p_i \log p_i + \lambda_1 \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} p_i - 1 \right) + \lambda_2 \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} i p_i - A \right)$$

is the function whose gradient we set to 0.

Many of you used Lagrange multipliers, but failed to argue that the result obtained is a global maximum. An argument similar to the above should have been used. On the other hand one could simply argue that since $-H(p)$ is convex, it has only one local minima, no local maxima and therefore Lagrange multiplier actually gives the global maximum for $H(p)$.