Rate Adaptation in Visual MIMO (Camera based Optical Wireless)

Ashwin Ashok

WINLAB

Rutgers-The State University of New Jersey

with Marco Gruteser, Narayan Mandayam, Michael Varga, Taekyoung Kwon, Kristin Dana and Wenjia Yuan



IEEE SECON'2011

Visual MIMO



Transmitter Array

CAMERA Receiver Array

Multiplex bits over parallel channels \rightarrow scale data-Rate by N_m

same bits on all channels (Diversity) \rightarrow scale SNR by N_d

$$C = N_m (W \log_2[1 + N_d \gamma])$$

$$\gamma = SNR[Ashok, et al-Mobicom'10]$$

RUTGERS

2

An example..V2V



(-)LOS required : mobility can kill data rate

(-)Optical channel distortions : limited range

(+) 'Rate of change' in optical channel < RF

Rate Adaptation!

RUTGERS



Rate Adaptation Challenges

Visibility



Visibility Index set (n=LED index) $V(n) = \begin{cases} 1 \rightarrow visible \\ 0 \rightarrow occluded \end{cases}$

Lens Blur



resolvable



Threshold pixel separation

PerspectiveDistortions

GERS



unresolvable





Short distance

Large distance

Angular view

Transmission Modes



RUTGERS

WINLAB

Formulating the Modes

set of *m* non-overlapping subsets chosen from the N LEDs of the array where each set i(i = 1, 2, 3...m) is a group of D_i LEDs such that $\sum_{i=1}^{m} D_i \leq N$ $\rightarrow m$ multiplex D_i diversity



Visual MIMO Rate Adaptation (VMRA)

Problem:

"efficiently choose a transmission *mode* that maximizes data throughput"

Constraints: errors due to

- Visibility issues occlusion of camera view
- Perspective distortions changing distance
- Resolvability issues Lens blur





VMRA: Methodology



ACK (no error in packet i)

8

Which of the LEDs are visible? Using the visible LEDs, what is the *mode* to be set? RUTGERS WINLAB

Proposed solutions

Exhaustive visibility search

- temporal probing using training sequence
- sequentially search through all LEDs for errors

Probe VMRA

- spatial probing using repeated bit patterns
- Use `smart' spatial patterns to detect LEDs in error

Index VMRA

- Use a block CRC scheme
- Use separate CRCs for independent blocks of bits sent from each LED

 Index LEDs in error over each iteration RUTGERS
WINL

Exhaustive search





Probing



{b1',b2',b3',b4'} = complement of {b1,b2,b3,b4}

faster than exhaustively searching all LEDs

11

Designing 'smart' spatial patterns for different LED array configurations is non-trivial ! RUTGERS WINLAB

Indexing

GERS



• All LEDs transmit in parallel

•Each LED transmits a packet appended with a CRC

No training sequence required

• Erroneous LEDs are found during data transmission => minimal overhead WINLAB

Trace based Simulation

ground truth from analyzing video

- distance trace
- visibility-distance trace



Distance d1 @ t= to

Distance changed to d' @ t= t'

Algorithm outputs LED is in error if

> Not resolvable due to change in distance (mode)

It is occluded RUTGERS



Partial Visibility (partial occulsion)

No visibility (Complete Occlusion)

Results

average throughput

- B = averaging time window
- T = adaptation time window (10/60 sec)



Ideal is an oracle solution where the system exactly knows what *mode* to choose and what LEDs to operate with 14 WINI AB

CRate(i)(1 - error(i))

Conclusion

- Adapt Visual MIMO data rate to optical channel distortions
 - Visibility
 - ∎ Blur
 - Perspective effects
- Possible solutions & Challenges
 - Exhaustive Visibility search : inefficient
 - Probe VMRA : requires `smart' spatial patterning
 - Index VMRA : framing packets and LED assignments

LAB Future Work: Addressing weather conditions, **RUTGERS** Skew in image WINLAB

THANK YOU ③

Questions?

aashok@winlab.rutgers.edu



